



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,175	07/15/2003	Carl M. Elam	08060.0002-01	4994
22852 · 7	590 03/30/2004		EXAM	NER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			ANDREA, BRIAN K	
LLP 1300 I STREE	T, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3662	
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		L2 10 44 5			
· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/619,175	ELAM, CARL M.			
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian K Andrea	3662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Ju</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 57-149 (claims 1-56 have been canced 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 57-66,69-72 and 75-149 is/are allowe 6) ☐ Claim(s) 67,68 and 73 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 74 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. d.	ation.			
	_				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2003 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/619,175

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 67, 68, and 73 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13 and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,608,588 to Elam (hereinafter, "Elam"). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the limitations of claims 67, 68, and 73 are required in claims 13 and 14 of Elam.

Specifically, claim 13 of Elam has all of the limitations of claims 67 and 73. Claim 14 of Elam has all of the limitations in claim 68.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 57-66, 69-72, and 74-149 are allowed.
- 4. Claim 74 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if the double patenting rejection stated above is overcome.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,175

Art Unit: 3662

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The above claims (57-66, 69-72, and 74-149) are allowable for the same reasons that the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,608,588 were allowed. Additionally, all of the limitations of the claims are not taught or suggested by the claims of '588. While the claims of '588 have the requirement that a receiver is present, the "chipping" of the received signals (as required in claims 57,62, 69, 71, 75, 88, 124, and 137) of the present application) to give them random phase or the detection of a plurality of signals from a plurality of objects using the spatial location of the object (as required in claims 100 and 112) of the present application) is not claimed in '588.

6. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The prior art that has been cited shows the current state of the art of remote sensing.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Andrea whose telephone number is (703) 605-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:30.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/619,175

Art Unit: 3662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BKA

BKK

24 March 2004

BERNARR E. GREGORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

A, 4,3662